IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 13359 of 2005

Between:

B.C.Sanjeeva Reddy, s/o B.Chokka Reddy,
Prop.SVMS, Court Road, Punganur, Chittoor District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The State Transport Authority, Andhra Pradesh rep., by its Secretary, BRK

Bhavan, Hyderabad.
2 The Secretary, State Transport Authority, BRK Bhavan, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue order or orders or direction or writ more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not considering the application of the petitioner herein for grant of additional trip and additional vehicle on the inter-state route Chintamani to Kadiri Regd. as Rc.No.2571/B1/05, dated 14/03/2005 as illegal and contrary to law and consequently direct the respondents to consider and dispose of the said application forthwith in the interest of justice.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.NOUSHAD ALI

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR TRANSPORT

The Court at the admission stage made the following:

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed by the petitioner aggrieved by the action of the respondents in not considering and disposing of his application dated 14.03.2005 for grant of additional trip and additional vehicle on the inter-state route viz., Chintamani to Kadiri.

According to the petitioner, he is an existing operator on the inter-state route i.e., Chintamani to Kadari via Rayalpad, Madanapalli etc., between the states of Andhra Pradesh and Karnataka. The said route was covered by an inter state agreement dated 01.09.1975 in Appendix-B at Serial No.27 allotted to the State of Andhra Pradesh. In view of the said allotment to the State of Andhra Pradesh and in view of the fact that adequate bus facilities are not available, the petitioner made an application for additional trip as well as for additional vehicle as he is an existing operator. The grievance of the petitioner is that the said application was though filed on 14.03.2005 has not been considered and no orders have been passed till date. Therefore, he has come up with the present writ petition.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Transport appearing for the respondents.

As the petitioner's application is pending since March, 2005 the respondents are directed to consider and dispose of the same expeditiously, preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

_____

23.06.2005

VGB

To

1 The Secretary, State Transport Authority, Andhra Pradesh, BRK Bhavan, Hyderabad.

2 2CCs to the Government Pleader for Transport, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT).

3 1 CC to Mr.Noushad Ali.